

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,806	06/18/2001	Craig Stuart Skinner	PALM-3611.US.P	4757
7:	590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP Third Floor			DENNISON, JERRY B	
Two North Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA	95113		2143	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/884,806	SKINNER ET AL.	Q)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	J. Bret Dennison	2143	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. is, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communica ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1 <u>8 June 2001</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u			is
Disposition of Claims		V.	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 18 June 2001 is/a		sted to by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Apelore priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

- This Action is in response to Application Number 09/884,806 received on 18
 June 2001.
- 2. Claims 1-31 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 8 and 29 recite the limitation "*said format". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Interpretation

3. Before a detailed mapping, a short discussion of the claims should be made to clarify Examiner's interpretation of the claims. Regarding independent claims, Applicant claims nothing more that making a backup copy of data on an electronic device (claims 1 and 22) and selecting a backup copy (claim 11). Regarding the dependent claims, Applicant claims storing the backup data in memory of another electronic device where the user can restore the data. Functions such as creating and storing files, searching for files following a format, displaying lists of files following a format, and acknowledging

Art Unit: 2143

selection of a file by a user are all basic functions of a computer system and are well known in the art. Examiner interprets the main point of the claimed invention to include creating backup files of data (configuration files, application data) each file with a unique identification to the user's device and storing the backup data on a second device, enabling the user to restore data at a later time.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blonder (U.S. Patent Number 5,802,275).

4. Regarding claims 1 and 22, Blonder discloses in an electronic device, a method of restoration comprising the steps of:

automatically extracting information unique to said electronic device;

automatically creating a personality file;

automatically writing said information into said personality file; and

automatically storing said personality file on said electronic device (Blonder, col.

3, line 60 through col. 4, line 15, col. 6, lines 13-30, Blonder teaches the user being able to choose data for their PDA, and the server encrypts the data to a file using the ID

Art Unit: 2143

of the user's PDA, and the user has the option of also backing up their current data by transferring it to the server. It is inherent that the user being able to backup their files on the server includes creating the file to backup, and that backup file is unique to said device by their encryption id).

- Regarding claims 2 and 23, Blonder discloses the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claims 1 and 22, including transferring the data to a second device (Blonder, col. 6, lines 13-30).
- Regarding claims 4 and 25, Blonder discloses the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claims 1 and 22, including storing said personality file in random access memory (Blonder, col. 6, lines 15-30, Blonder teaches the user transferring files to the server, which means the file is stored in RAM).
- 7. Regarding claims 5, 11, 12, and 26, Blonder discloses the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claims 1 and 22, including

automatically locating a plurality files, including said personality file, stored within said electronic device containing said information, each of said plurality files following a format unique said method; automatically displaying a list of said plurality files; automatically acknowledging selection of one of said plurality of files, a selected file; and automatically importing data contained within said selected file into said selected device (Blonder, col. 3, lines 60-67, col. 6, lines 15-35, Blonder teaches the user

Art Unit: 2143

requesting software from a plurality of software for the user's unique PDA to import to their PDA).

- 8. Regarding claims 9, 21 and 30, Blonder discloses the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claims 1, 11, and 22, including wherein said electronic device is a personal digital assistant with wireless capabilities (Blonder, col. 1, lines 35-40).
- 9. Regarding claims 10 and 31, Blonder discloses the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claims 1 and 22, including wherein said personality file is a memo file associated with a memo application in an operating system of said electronic device (Blonder, col. 6, lines 24-26, Blonder teaches that users can back up data files related to applications).
- Regarding claim 15, Blonder discloses the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 11, including acknowledging selection of said selected file by a user (Blonder, col. 6, lines 20-30, Blonder teaches users being able to make a selection of data to restore and the server transferring it, inherently acknowledging the user's selection).
- Regarding claims 16, 17, and 18, Blonder discloses the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 11, including automatically extracting data unique to said electronic device, creating a personality file, writing data to said personality file, and storing said personality file on said electronic device, said personality file also said

Art Unit: 2143

selected file (Blonder, col. 3, lines 60-65, col. 4, lines 5-15, col. 4, lines 40-50, Blonder teaches encrypted data stored on the server by the unique id of the device, col. 6, lines 15-30, Blonder teaches users being able to transfer data to the server using this unique ID as the identification of the device's data, inherently meaning that the user must create and store the file of device data before transferring, and also inherently meaning that the devices must be synchronized for proper data transfer).

Regarding claim 19, Blonder discloses the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 17, including locating personality file on said second electronic device and storing a copy of said personality file on said electronic device (Blonder, col. 6, lines 15-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 6, 24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blonder in view of obviousness.

13. Regarding claims 3, 13, and 24, Blonder discloses the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claims 1 and 22. Blonder also teaches that the reason for backing up software is for getting rid of viruses (Blonder, col. 2, lines 10-25). Blonder

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/884,806

Art Unit: 2143

does not explicitly state extracting state information unique to said electronic device, said state information configuring said electronic device for communication. However, it would have been obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that backing up software in case of a virus would also include backing up configuration settings for wireless communications within a PDA to provide the user with a fresh copy of software that is clean from any virus (Blonder, col. 1, lines 10-40).

14. Regarding claims 6, 7, 14, and 27, and 28, Blonder discloses the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claims 5, 12, and 26. Blonder also teaches that the reason for backing up software is for getting rid of viruses (Blonder, col. 2, lines 10-25). Blonder does not explicitly state wherein the data comprises a plurality of predetermined operational parameters, each of which are stored in a corresponding predetermined location within flash memory of said electronic device and wherein said step h) further comprises the step automatically importing each of said plurality of predetermined operational parameters to said corresponding pre-determined locations. However, it would have been obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that backing up software in case of a virus would also include importing predetermined operational parameters to said corresponding predetermined locations within flash ROM in order for the electronic device to function properly as it did without the virus.

Art Unit: 2143

15. Regarding claims 8, 20, and 29, Blonder discloses the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claims 1, 11, and 22, including wherein files are in a format including a serial number of said electronic device (Blonder, col. 3, line 55 through col. 4, line 15, Blonder teaches files being encrypted with device ID). Blonder does not explicitly state wherein said format includes time/date stamp or following that format. However, it would have been obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include time/date stamp for each created file to enable users to keep track of backed up files on the server.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Bret Dennison whose telephone number is (571)272-3910. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (703)308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2143

Page 9

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Bret Dennison Patent Examiner Art Unit 2143

DAMPWILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100